

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
MONROE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CASE NO. 3:19-CR-00033-01

VERSUS

JUDGE TERRY A. DOUGHTY

CHRISTOPHER JOE STAMPER (01)

MAG. JUDGE KAYLA D. MCCLUSKY

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Pending before the Court is a *pro se* Motion for Relief [Doc. No. 177] filed by Defendant Christopher Joe Stamper (“Stamper”). Stamper is again asserting that the Court misconstrued his Letter/Motion [Doc. No. 161] as a motion for reconsideration rather than as a reply brief.

While there is no motion for reconsideration *per se*, there is a motion to alter or amend judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). The Fifth Circuit has explained that a Rule 59(e) motion “calls into question the correctness of a judgment,” but “is not the proper vehicle for rehashing evidence, legal theories, or arguments that could have been offered,” or were offered, “before the entry of judgment.” *Templet v. HydroChem, Inc.*, 367 F.3d 473, 478-79 (5th Cir. 2004) (citations and internal quotation marks omitted). Stamper disagrees with the Court’s determination in its prior Ruling [Doc. No. 170]; however, the Court has previously considered and rejected Stamper’s arguments and finds no reason to alter or amend its Ruling. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Stamper’s *pro se* Motion for Relief [Doc. No. 177] is **DENIED**.

MONROE, LOUISIANA, this 16th day of March 2022.



Terry A. Doughty
United States District Judge